# Math 254A Lecture 6 Notes

### Daniel Raban

### April 9, 2021

## 1 Proving the (S2) Condition Via Compact Exhaustion

### 1.1 Compact exhaustion of convex open sets

Our setting is a  $\sigma$ -finite measure space  $(M, \lambda)$  with a measureable map  $\varphi : M \to X$ , where  $\mathcal{U}$  is the collection of open convex subsets of X. We are trying to measure

$$\lambda^{\times n} \left\{ p \in M^n : \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi(p_i) \in U \right\} = \exp(n \cdot s(U) + o(n))$$

(if it is finite for each each n, otherwise we get  $s(U) = \infty$  and RHS  $= \infty$ ). This exceptional case is not an issue if we can guarantee at most exponential growth, e.g. if  $\lambda(M) < \infty$ .

We can also define a point function

$$s(x) = \inf_{U \ni x} s(U),$$

and this is upper semicontinuous and concave. The next step needs an extra condition:

Each  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  is a countable union of compact convex sets.

Here are examples where we can prove this property.

**Example 1.1.**  $X = \mathbb{R}^d$ . Let U be convex and open, and let  $F_n = \{x \in U : |x| \le n, \operatorname{dist}(x, U^c) \ge 1/n\}$ . This is a closed subset of U (which is bounded and hence compact), and  $U = \bigcup_n F_n$ . To show that this is convex, we need to make sure the last condition preserves convexity. Observe that this condition holds iff  $B_{1/n}(x) \subseteq U$ . If this holds at x and y, then

$$B_{1/n}(tx + (1-t)y) = tB_{1/n}(x) + (1-t)B_{1/n}(y) \subseteq U.$$

**Example 1.2.**  $X = Y^*$ , where Y is a Banach space and X has the weak\*-topology.

To prove the second example, we need the following:

**Lemma 1.1.** For  $X = Y^*$ , if  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ , then there exist  $y_1, \ldots, y_k$  and an open, convex  $V \subseteq \mathbb{R}^k$  such that

$$U = \{x : (\langle x, y_1 \rangle, \dots, \langle x, y_k \rangle) \in V\}$$

*i.e.*  $U = L^{-1}[V]$ , where  $L: X \to \mathbb{R}^k$  sends  $x \mapsto (\langle x, y_1 \rangle, \dots, \langle x, y_k \rangle)$ .

Proof. Assume  $U \ni 0$ , so there exist linearly independent  $y_1, \ldots, y_k \in Y$  and a neighborhood W of 0 in  $\mathbb{R}^k$  such that  $U \supseteq L^{-1}[W]$  (L as above). The main work is showing that  $U = L^{-1}[V]$  for some  $V \subseteq \mathbb{R}^k$ . It is equivalent to show that U = U + z for any  $z \in \ker L$ . Suppose  $z \in \ker L \subseteq U$  and so  $\frac{1}{\varepsilon} z \in U$  for all  $\varepsilon$ . We have, by convexity, that  $U \supseteq (1-\varepsilon)U$ 

for all  $\varepsilon \in [0, 1]$ . Similarly,  $U \supseteq (1 - \varepsilon)U + \varepsilon u$ , where  $u \in U$ . So, in particular,

$$U \supseteq (1 - \varepsilon)U + \varepsilon \cdot \frac{1}{\varepsilon}z = (1 - \varepsilon)U + z$$

for all  $\varepsilon$ . Hence,

$$U \supseteq \bigcup_{1 > \varepsilon > 0} (1 - \varepsilon)U + z = U + z$$

By symmetry, U = U + z.

**Proposition 1.1.**  $X = Y^*$  has the desired property.

*Proof.* Let  $U = L^{-1}[V] = \bigcup_n L^{-1}[F_n]$  as above, where  $L^{-1}[F_n]$  are weak<sup>\*</sup> closed and convex. By Alaoglu's theorem,  $X = \bigcup_n \overline{B_n}$ , where  $\overline{B_n}$  is compact and convex, and so  $U = \bigcup_n (L^{-1}[F_n] \cap \overline{B_n})$ .

### **1.2** Compact exhaustion implies (S2) condition

**Proposition 1.2.** Suppose that X and U have this property. Then the (S2) condition

 $s(U) = \sup\{s(K) : K \subseteq U \text{ is compact}\}\$ 

holds, where

$$s(K) := \inf\{\max_i s(U_i) : U_1, \dots, U_k \in \mathcal{U}, K \subseteq U_1 \cup \dots \cup U_k\}.$$

*Proof.* Recall that if  $s(U) > -\infty$ , then

$$s(U) = \lim_{n} \frac{\log \lambda^{\times n}(\{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \varphi(p_i) \in U\})}{n} = \sup_{n} \frac{\log \lambda^{\times n}(\{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \varphi(p_i) \in U\})}{n}.$$

Suppose a < s(U). Then by this latter formulation for s(U), there is some *m* such that  $\log(\lambda^{\times m}(\{\cdots \in U\}))/m > a$ . Write  $U = \bigcup_k F_k$  where the  $F_k$  are compact and convex.

So  $\lambda^{\times m}(\{\cdots \in U\}) = \uparrow \lim_k \lambda^{\times m}(\{\cdots \in F_k\})$ . So there is a compact convex  $F \supseteq U$  with  $\frac{\log \lambda^{\times m}(\cdots \in F\})}{m} > a$ . By convexity of F, this gives

$$\frac{\log \lambda^{\times \ell m}(\{\frac{1}{\ell m} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell m} \dots \in F\})}{\ell m} > a$$

for all  $\ell$ . Now suppose  $F \subseteq U_1 \cup \cdots \cup U_k$  with the  $U_i \in \mathcal{U}$ . Then  $\lambda^{\times \ell m}(\{\cdots \in F\}) \leq k \max_i \lambda^{\times \ell m}(\{\cdots \in U_i\})$ . So

$$\frac{\log \lambda^{\times \ell m}(\{\dots \in F\})}{\ell m} \le o(1) + \max_{i} \underbrace{\frac{\log \lambda^{\ell m}(\{\dots \in U_{i}\})}{\ell m}}_{\rightarrow s(U_{i})}.$$

The lim sup of this as  $\ell \to \infty$  is a lower bound on  $\max_i s(U_i)$  whenever  $F \subseteq U_1 \cup \cdots \cup U_k$ . Hence,  $s(F) \ge a$ . Since a was arbitrary  $\langle s(U) \rangle$ , we have (S2).

#### **1.3** Special cases of our construction

Let's take stock of what we have so far: There exists  $s : \mathcal{U} \to [-\infty, \infty]$  satisfying (S1) and (S2) such that

$$\lambda^{\times n}\left(p \in M^n : \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi(p_i) \in U\right) = \exp(n \cdot s(U) + o(n))$$

as  $n \to \infty$  for all  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ . We also have an upper semicontinuous point function  $s: X \to [-\infty, \infty]$  with  $s(U) = \sup\{s(x) : x \in U\}$ . Also, if  $s: \mathcal{U} \to [-\infty, \infty]$  is locally finite, then  $s: X \to [-\infty, \infty)$  and is concave.

Here are a few notable special cases:

**Example 1.3.** Let M = A be a finite alphabet with  $\lambda$  as counting measure. Then  $s(U) \leq \log |A|$  for all U, and  $\varphi(a) = \delta_a \in P(A)$ . Then  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi(a_i)$  is the empirical distribution  $p_a$ , and so our conclusion is

$$|T_n(U)| = \exp(n \sup_{p \in U} s(p) + o(n)).$$

**Example 1.4.** Let  $X = \mathbb{R}^d$ , and let  $\xi_1, \xi_2, \ldots$  be iid random variables with values in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . So in the background, there is a probability space  $(M, \lambda)$  and measurable  $\varphi : M \to \mathbb{R}^d$  such that  $(\xi_1, \xi_2, \ldots) \stackrel{d}{=} (\varphi(p_1), \varphi(p_2), \ldots)$ , where  $(p_1, p_2, \ldots) \sim \lambda^{\times \infty}$ . Then there exists a point function  $s : \mathbb{R}^d \to [-\infty, 0]$  such that

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\xi_{i}\in U\right) = \exp\left(n\cdot\sup_{x\in U}s(x) + o(n)\right).$$

(Note that  $s(x) \leq 0$  for all x because  $s(U) \leq \log \lambda(M) = 0$  for all U.) If this event is unlikely (prob  $\rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ), then the event is called a **large deviation**, and this is the beginning of "Large Deviations Theory."